

**Louisville Gas & Electric Kentucky Utilities Companies (LG&E/KU)
Aggregate Long-Term & Short-Term Transmission Service Request (TSR) Study Metrics 2012**

METRIC	Third Quarter ¹ July 01, 2012 through September 30, 2012	
	Affiliate	Non-Affiliate
<i>(i) Process time from initial service request to offer of System Impact Study (SIS) agreement pursuant to sections 17.5, 19.1 and 32.1 of the Pro Forma Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT)</i>		
a) Number of new SIS agreements delivered to transmission customers.	13	0
b) Number of new SIS agreements delivered to the transmission customer more than thirty (30) days after the Transmission Customer submitted its request. ²	7	0
c) Average time (days) from request submittal to change in request status. ³	1	N/A
d) Average time (days) from request submittal to delivery of SIS agreement. ²	62	N/A
e) Number of new SIS agreements executed.	1	0
<i>(ii) SIS processing time pursuant to sections 19.3 and 32.3 of the Pro Forma OATT</i>		
a) Number of SIS completed.	1	0
b) Number of SIS completed more than 60 days after receipt of executed SIS agreement.	0	0
c) Average time (days) from receipt of executed SIS agreement to date when completed SIS made available to the transmission customer.	56	N/A
d) Average cost of SIS completed during the period.	\$7,842.75	N/A
<i>(iii) Service requests withdrawn from SIS queue</i>		
a) Number of requests withdrawn from the SIS queue.	0	0
b) Number of SIS withdrawn more than sixty (60) days after receipt of executed SIS agreement.	0	0
c) Average time (days) from receipt of executed SIS agreement to date when request was withdrawn from the SIS queue.	N/A	N/A

For all SIS completed more than sixty (60) days after receipt of executed SIS agreement, average number of days study was delayed due to transmission customer's actions (e.g., delays in providing needed data).	N/A	N/A
(iv) Process time from completed SIS to offer of Facilities Study (FS) pursuant to sections 19.4 and 32.4 of the Pro Forma OATT		
a) Number of new FS agreements delivered to Transmission Customers.	1	0
b) Number of new FS agreements delivered to Transmission Customers more than thirty (30) days after the completion of the SIS.	0	0
c) Average time (days) from completion of SIS to delivery of FS agreement.	28	N/A
d) Number of new FS agreements executed.	1	0
(v) FS processing time pursuant to sections 19.4 and 32.4		
a) Number of FS completed.	0	0
b) Number of FS completed more than sixty (60) days after receipt of executed FS agreement.	0	0
c) Average time (days) from receipt of executed FS agreement to date when completed FS made available to the Transmission Customer.	N/A	N/A
d) Average cost of FS completed during the period.	N/A	N/A
e) Average cost of recommended upgrades for FS completed during the period.	N/A	N/A
(vi) Service requests withdrawn from FS queue		
a) Number of requests withdrawn from the FS queue	0	0
b) Number of FS withdrawn more than sixty (60) days after receipt of executed FS agreement	0	0
c) Average time (days) from receipt of executed FS agreement to date when request was withdrawn from the FS queue	N/A	N/A
For all FS completed more than sixty (60) days after receipt of executed FS agreement, average number of days study was delayed due to Transmission Customer's actions (e.g., delays in providing needed data).	N/A	N/A
Optional Feasibility Analysis Service (FAS)		
(i) FS processing time pursuant to section 7 of LG&E/KU Business Practices		
a) Number of new FAS completed.	0	0
b) Number of FAS completed more than thirty (30) days after receipt of executed FAS Agreement.	0	0
c) Average time (days) from receipt of executed FAS Agreement to date when completed FAS made available to Transmission Customer.	N/A	N/A
d) Average cost of FAS completed during period.	N/A	N/A

The term "N/A" defines an area that has No Applicability in this report.

1. In the third quarter of 2012, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) operated as Independent Transmission Organization (ITO) from July 01, 2012 through August 31, 2012. TranServ International, Inc. (TranServ) operated as ITO from September 01, 2012 through September 30, 2012. The data in this report is a combination of these two periods.
2. Prior to TranServ assuming duties as ITO, SPP ITO agreed per Customer request to delay the issuance of SIS Agreement for 7 TSRs associated with a new Generator Interconnection (GI). This was done pursuant to Business Practices effective at that time.
3. The process of changing a request status from Queued to Received is automated and takes less than 5 minutes. ITO manually changes status from Received to Study if it determines that a SIS is necessary. This metric tracks the duration from when a request is labeled Received until it is placed in Study mode. This number is rounded up to the nearest whole.