Metric	Fourth Quarter October 01 through December 31, 2017	
	Affiliate	Non-Affiliate
<i>i)</i> Process time from initial service request to offer of system mpact study agreement pursuant to sections 17.5, 19.1, and 32.1 of the pro forma OATT		
A) Number of new system impact study agreements delivered to ransmission customers	0	0
B) Number of new system impact study agreements delivered to he transmission customers more than 30 days after the ransmission customer submitted its request	0	0
C) Average time (days) from request submittal to change in equest status	N/A	N/A
D) Average time (days) from request submittal to delivery of system impact study agreement	N/A	N/A
E) Number of new system impact study agreements executed	0	0
(ii) System impact study processing time pursuant to sections 19.3 and 32.3 of the pro forma OATT		
A) Number of system impact studies completed	0	0
B) Number of system impact studies completed more than 60 days after receipt of executed system impact study agreement	0	0
C) Average time (days) from receipt of executed system impact study agreement to date when completed system impact study nade available to the transmission customer	N/A	N/A
D) Average cost of system impact studies completed during the period	N/A	N/A
(iii) Service requests withdrawn from the system impact study queue		
A) Number of requests withdrawn from the system impact study queue	0	0
B) Number of system impact studies withdrawn more than 60 days after receipt of executed system impact study agreement	0	0
C) Average time (days) from receipt of executed system impact study agreement to date when request was withdrawn from the system impact study queue	N/A	N/A
For all system impact studies completed more than 60 days after receipt of executed system impact study agreement, average number of days study was delayed due to ransmission customer's actions (e.g., delays in providing needed data)	N/A	N/A
<i>(iv) Process time from completed system impact study to of facilities study pursuant to sections 19.4 and 32.4 of the pro forma OATT</i>		
A) Number of new facilities study agreements delivered to ransmission customers	0	0
B) Number of new facilities study agreements delivered to ransmission customers more than 30 days after the completion of he system impact study	0	0
C) Average time (days) from completion of system impact study to delivery of facilities study agreement	N/A	N/A
D) Number of new facilities study agreements executed	0	0
v) Facilities study processing time pursuant to sections 19.4 and 32.4		
A) Number of facilities studies completed	1	0
B) Number of facilities studies completed more than 60 days after eceipt of executed facilities study agreement	0	0
C) Average time (days) from receipt of executed facilities study agreement to date when completed facilities study made available o transmission customer	49	N/A
D) Average cost of facilities study completed during the period*	\$463.45	N/A
E) Average cost of recommended upgrades for facilities studies completed during the period ¹	\$2,500,000	N/A
vi) Service requests withdrawn from facilities study queue		
A) Number of requests withdrawn from the facilities study queue	0	0

(C) Average time (days) from receipt of executed facilities study agreement to date when request was withdrawn from facilities study queue	N/A	N/A	
For all facilities studies completed more than 60 days after receipt of executed facilities study agreement, average number of days study was delayed due to transmission customer's actions (e.g., delays in providing needed data)	N/A	N/A	
Optional Feasibility Analysis Service (FAS)			
<i>(i) Feasibility study processing time pursuant to section 7 of the LKE Business Practices</i>			
(A) Number of new Feasibility Analyses completed	0	0	
(B) Number of Feasibility Analyses completed more than 30 days after receipt of executed FAS Agreement	0	0	
(C) Average time (days) from receipt of executed FAS Agreement to date when completed Feasibility Analysis made available to transmission customer	N/A	N/A	
(D) Average cost of Feasibility Analysis completed during period	N/A	N/A	

Footnotes:

The term $\ensuremath{"N/A"}$ defines an area that has No Applicability in this report.

1. This metric reflects only the cost of recommended Network Upgrades. It does not include the cost of Direct Assignment Facilities which were listed in the Facilities Study Reports.

*Average costs of the system impact studies includes the ITO charges as well as TO charges.