

PacifiCorp Transmission,

Powerex seeks clarity regarding recent revision to PacifiCorp's "Business Practice #55: Management of Critical Constrained Transmission Paths" (Revision No. 8).

On page 2 of the red-line Business Practice, the following text has been struck:

Practice

PacifiCorp, as the Transmission Operator, is required to take all necessary actions to maintain a path within Path SOLs. This includes directly tripping generation or load contributing to a reliability condition.

~~PacifiCorp has designated the following Critical Constrained Paths which are currently being managed under this business practice:~~

~~Path 37 (4A)~~

~~Path 38 (4B)~~

~~Goshen to Path C~~

Does this revision mean the Business Practice no longer "active" at this time—i.e. is it now just a placeholder in the event that PacifiCorp identifies Critically Constrained Paths in the future? If the Business Practice no longer active and does not list any Critical Constrained Paths, is there a need to retain this Business Practice?

Furthermore, could PacifiCorp please confirm that this Business Practice will no longer be used to manage constraints on Path 37 (4A), Path 38 (4B), and Goshen to Path C now that they have been delisted as Critical Constrained Paths? Which methods will be used to manage constraints on those paths?