

PSCo FERC 890 Q4 Meeting
December 6, 2017
Meeting Notes Q&A

Q1: Are PSCo's Variable Energy Resource (VER) Guidelines posted online?

A1: No.

Q3: Are the capacity results (slide 20) from the Colorado Energy Plan Task Force (CEPTF) simultaneous limits, or stand-alone?

A3: The figures on slide 20 are stand-alone values. When we perform portfolio studies for the ERP/CEP, the generation resources will be studied together, even if they are in different injection areas.

Q5: What is PSCo's peak load?

A5: The peak load is approximately 6500 MW. We already have approximately 10,000 MW of installed nameplate generation capacity.

Q6: Regarding San Luis Valley (SLV) studies, when you did the Comanche injection studies, did you assume the Poncha-Midway 230 kV line was there?

A6: No, we did not model Poncha-Midway in the studies. However, SLV-Poncha 230 kV #2 was in the model. There could be interaction between Comanche and SLV if Poncha - Midway line was built. There should not be much interaction if the Poncha-Malta 230 kV alternative was built instead.

Q7: Will 44 kV facilities in Greeley be gone in 5 years?

A7: North of Greeley project will allow much of the existing 44 kV to be replaced north of Greeley, except for a radial 44 kV line to Continental. Projects south of Greeley will be planned to replace additional 44 kV facilities, but may not be completed in five years.

Q9: Are there any new SB-100 projects submitted in upcoming 3627 filing?

A9: There are no new transmission projects that are exclusively for SB-100. However, the Badger Hills Substation is consistent with SB-100 by allowing resources in ERZ 4 to be accommodated. When we file a CPCN for Badger Hills, we will likely state that it aligns with SB-100 initiatives.

Q10: Has PSCo received any generator interconnection requests to connect to Badger Hills?

A10: Yes, but they are not specified as "Badger Hills" but instead east/south of Comanche.

Q12: What do curved arrows mean in 3627 map (slide 51)?

A12: General showing point A to point B with no defined routes.

Q13: Is there any information about the Southwest Power Pool (SPP) in the upcoming 3627 report?

A13: No

Q14: Liam: What does "x" through WECC mean (slide 63)?

A14: WECC is not going away but they have reorganized and combined the Planning Coordination Committee and the Transmission Expansion Planning Policy Committee into the Reliability Assessment Committee.

Q15: Suggest another stakeholder meeting to discuss Badger Hills prior to 3627 filing.

A15: PSCo showed potential location for Badger Hills on the map, stating that it would be similar in concept to the existing Missile Site Substation.

Q16: Do you plan to build Badger Hills if there are no winning bidders down there?

A16: PSCo has stated in CEP Supplemental Testimony that it would still be interested in building the substation. However, the scope and design of the substation could change.

Note:

At one point during the meeting, Chris Neil from the Office of Consumer Council accused the Company of releasing insider information to bidders. Company representatives replied that this was absolutely not the case.