

## Information Requests on UGP's 2023 Annual Update

### A) MRES Data Requests on WAPA's 2021 True-Up

1. Referring to the 2021 True-Up calculation, Worksheet 3, lines 57-70, please verify the Schedule 11 Zonal PTP Adjustments, as they appear to be the same in each month of 2021 as they were in 2020.

These have been corrected.

2. Referring to Worksheet 4, line 117 and the file "SSCD 2021 O&M.pdf," please provide the meaning of the acronyms in this file, such as AMF, RAF, WMA, BEPS, BFPS, BBGF, VMS, LLGF, NNIN, JJCR, GGPD, etc.

AMF – Advance Maintenance Fund  
RAF – Centralized Functions Operation  
WMA – Appropriated O&M Fund  
WMF – Capitalized O&M Fund  
BEPS – Billings Office Power System  
BFPS – Watertown Office Power System  
BEFP – Fort Peck Power System  
BBGF – Upper Great Plains General Fund  
VMF- Colorado River Storage Project (CRSP) Revolving Fund  
LLGF – CRSP General Fund  
NNIN – Sierra Nevada Region  
JJCR – Rocky Mountain Region Construction  
GGPD – Desert Southwest Fund  
NNCV – Sierra Nevada Construction  
PMN – Prepay O&M Non-federal Fund  
GGPD – Desert Southwest Fund  
AACF – CSO Construction and Rehab Fund  
TMF – Trust (customer) Maintenance Fund

3. Referring to the 2021 True-Up Calculation, Worksheet 7-BPUFac, lines 1 and 7 indicate that the Lewis-Richland 115 kV is designated as a Zonal facility. However, Worksheet 11-FacChanges, describes this facility as "Reconductor 0.1 miles of 115-kV Transmission Line to increase rating due to 2018 ITP. NTC ID 210497. This project will be regionally shared." Why is this not being regionally shared in WS-7?

See footnote 1 on Worksheet 7-BPUFac (True-Up), Base Plan Upgrade project with costs under \$100,000 are not regionally shared pursuant to the provisions of the SPP Tariff Attachment J.III.A.1. Last year this was noted as regionally shared in the rate, however SPP properly applied the Tariff as referred to in the footnote and the RRR File reflected this as a Zonal project only. These same clarifications/footnotes were also made to the Estimate Templates.

4. Referring to the 2021 True-Up Calculation, Worksheet 8-TransFac, line 93, the Ordway-Groton South transmission line appears to be new, but does not appear in WS-11-FacChanges. Please describe this new facility.

This is not a new line. Costs were included in previous years but now are listed separately under this separate FID.

5. Referring to the 2021 True-Up Calculation, Worksheet 8-TransFac, line 279, the Rapid City North Service Center, appears to be missing from column 5. Is this the missing item that led to the revised rates as noted at the September 21, 2022 Annual Update meeting?

Yes. The value in Column 5 was inadvertently not included in Column 9 due to a missing formula, and this led to the revised rates as noted during the Annual Meeting that were subsequently posted on UGP's OASIS. That issue has been corrected in the "Rev 1" True-Up and Estimate templates.

6. Referring to the 2021 True-Up Calculation, Worksheet 8-TransFac, Mobile Equipment, in the 2020 True-Up there was a MOB TRANSF 115KV 15MVA, which no longer appears. Has this been retired/removed?

This was retired.