



**System Impact Study Report  
PID 210  
328 MW (358 MW Gross) Plant  
Lewis Creek S.E.S 138kV**

**Prepared by:  
Southwest Power Pool, Independent Coordinator of  
Transmission (SPP ICT)  
415 North McKinley, Suite 140  
Little Rock, AR 72205**

**Revision: 0**

<b>Rev</b>	<b>Issue Date</b>	<b>Description of Revision</b>	<b>Revised By</b>	<b>Project Manager</b>
0	6/26/2008	Final for Review	BEF	JDH

# Objective:

This System Impact Study is the second step of the interconnection process and is based on PID-210 request for interconnection on Entergy's transmission system at Lewis Creek S.E.S. 138kV. This report is organized in two sections, namely, Section – A, Energy Resource Interconnection Service (ERIS) and Section – B, Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS – Section B).

The Scope for the ERIS section (Section – A) includes load flow (steady state) analysis, offsite nuclear analysis and short circuit analysis as defined in FERC orders 2003, 2003A and 2003B. The NRIS section (Section – B) contains details of load flow (steady state) analysis only, however, offsite nuclear analysis and short circuit analysis of Section – A are also applicable to Section – B. Additional information on scope for NRIS study can be found in Section – B.

Requestor for PID 210 did request NRIS but did not request ERIS, therefore, under Section – A (ERIS) load flow analysis was not performed.

Requester for PID-210 intends to install a generating facility consisting of two (2) combustion turbine units tied to the Lewis Creek 138 kV station through two (2) 138/18 kV autotransformers.

The proposed in-service date for this facility is June 1, 2010.

# Section – A

Energy Resource Interconnection Service

## TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR ERS (SECTION – A)

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>SHORT CIRCUIT ANALYSIS / BREAKER RATING ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>3</b>
A.	MODEL INFORMATION.....	3
B.	SHORT CIRCUIT ANALYSIS.....	3
C.	ANALYSIS RESULTS.....	3
D.	PROBLEM RESOLUTION.....	5
<b>III.</b>	<b>TRANSIENT STABILITY ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
A.	MODEL INFORMATION.....	6
B.	TRANSIENT STABILITY ANALYSIS.....	6
C.	ANALYSIS RESULTS.....	6

<a href="#">APPENDIX A-A</a>	<a href="#">DATA SUPPLIED BY THE CUSTOMER</a>
<a href="#">APPENDIX A-B</a>	<a href="#">POLICY STATEMENT/GUIDELINES FOR POWER SYSTEM STABILIZER</a>
<a href="#">APPENDIX A-C</a>	<a href="#">TRANSIENT STABILITY DATA &amp; PLOTS</a>
<a href="#">APPENDIX A-D</a>	<a href="#">SUBSTATION CONFIGURATION FOR THE ADJACENT SUBSTATIONS UNDER STUCK BREAKER FAULT CONDITIONS</a>

# **I. Introduction**

This Energy Resource Interconnection Service (ERIS) is based on the PID-210 request for interconnection on Entergy's transmission system at Lewis Creek S.E.S. 138kV substation. The objective of this study is to assess the reliability impact of the new facility on the Entergy transmission system with respect to the steady state and transient stability performance of the system as well as its effects on the system's existing short circuit current capability. It is also intended to determine whether the transmission system meets standards established by NERC Reliability Standards and Entergy's planning guidelines when the plant is connected to Entergy's transmission system. If not, transmission improvements will be identified.

The System Impact Study process required a load flow analysis to determine if the existing transmission lines are adequate to handle the full output from the plant for simulated transfers to adjacent control areas. A short circuit analysis was performed to determine if the generation would cause the available fault current to surpass the fault duty of existing equipment within the Entergy transmission system. A transient stability analysis was conducted to determine if the new units would cause a stability problem on the Entergy system.

This ERIS System Impact Study was based on information provided by PID-210 and assumptions made by Entergy's Transmission Technical System Planning group. All supplied information and assumptions are documented in this report. If the actual equipment installed is different from the supplied information or the assumptions made, the results outlined in this report are subject to change.

The load flow results from the ERIS study are for information only. ERIS does not in and of itself convey any transmission service.

## **II. Short Circuit Analysis / Breaker Rating Analysis**

### **A Model Information**

The short circuit analysis was performed on the Entergy system short circuit model using ASPEN software. This model includes all generators interconnected to the Entergy system or interconnected to an adjacent system and having an impact on this interconnection request, IPP's with signed IOAs, and approved future transmission projects in the Entergy system including the proposed PID-210 units.

### **B Short Circuit Analysis**

The method used to determine if any short circuit problems would be caused by the addition of the PID-210 generation is as follows:

1. Three phase and single phase to ground faults were simulated on the Entergy base case short circuit model and the worst case short circuit level was determined at each station. The PID-210 Plant generator was then modeled in the base case to generate a revised short circuit model. The base case short circuit results were then compared with the results from the revised model to identify any breakers that were under-rated as a result of additional short circuit contribution from PID-210 Plant generation. The breakers identified to be upgraded through this comparison are *mandatory* upgrades.

### **C Analysis Results**

The results of the short circuit analysis, with or without prior PID's indicate that the additional generation due to PID-210 Plant generator does not cause an increase in short circuit current such that they exceed the fault interrupting capability of the high voltage circuit breakers within the Entergy Transmission system.

### **D Problem Resolution**

There were no problems identified for this part of the study that were a result of the additional PID-210 Plant generation.

## **II. Transient Stability Analysis**

### **A. Model Information**

As PID 210 System Impact Study was requested in conjunction with consideration for PID 211, for results of the Transient Stability Analysis, Data and Plot information please refer to PID 211 System Impact Study Report for results.

### **B. Transient Stability Analysis**

Refer to PID 211 System Impact Study Report for information.

### **C. Analysis Results**

Refer to PID 211 System Impact Study Report for information.

## APPENDIX A.A DATA PROVIDED BY CUSTOMER

### A.A.1 LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA

#### APPENDIX 1 to LGIP INTERCONNECTION REQUEST FOR A LARGE GENERATING FACILITY

1. The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this request to interconnect its Large Generating Facility with Transmission Provider's Transmission System pursuant to a Tariff.
2. This Interconnection Request is for (check one):  
 A proposed new Large Generating Facility.  
 An increase in the generating capacity or a Material Modification of an existing Generating Facility.
3. The type of interconnection service requested (check one):  
 Energy Resource Interconnection Service  
 Network Resource Interconnection Service
4.  Check here only if Interconnection Customer requesting Network Resource Interconnection Service also seeks to have its Generating Facility studied for Energy Resource Interconnection Service
5. Interconnection Customer provides the following information:
  - a. Address or location of the proposed new Large Generating Facility site (to the extent known) or, in the case of an existing Generating Facility, the name and specific location of the existing Generating Facility;  
Lewis Creek S.E.S 138 kV bus , Montgomery County, Texas
  - b. Summer and Winter electrical output  
Maximum summer at 35(179.35 MW x 2 units - 246.5 MW x 1 unit = 605.2 MW) degrees C and winter at 15(179.35 MW x 2 units + 246.5 MW x 1 unit = 605.2 MW) degrees C megawatt electrical output of the proposed new Large Generating Facility or the amount of megawatt increase in the generating capacity of an existing Generating Facility;
  - c. General description of the equipment configuration;  
Two (2) combustion turbine units tied to the Lewis Creek 138 kV station through two (2) 138/18 kV autotransformers and one (1) steam turbine unit tied to the Lewis Creek 138 kV station through one (1) 138/21 kV autotransformer. Please see one-line for electrical connection to the grid.



- d. Commercial Operation Date (Day, Month, and Year);  
June 1, 2010
  - e. Name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address of Interconnection Customer's contact person;
  - f. Approximate location of the proposed Point of Interconnection (optional);  
and
  - g. Interconnection Customer Data (set forth in Attachment A)
6. Applicable deposit amount as specified in the LGIP.
7. Evidence of Site Control as specified in the LGIP (check one)  
 Is attached to this Interconnection Request  
 Will be provided at a later date in accordance with this LGIP
8. This Interconnection Request shall be submitted to the representative indicated below:

[To be completed by Transmission Provider]

9. Representative of Interconnection Customer to contact:

10. This Interconnection Request is submitted by:

Name of Interconnection Customer:

By (signature): \_\_\_\_\_

Name (type or print): \_\_\_\_\_

Title: Engineer \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 3/16/07 \_\_\_\_\_

## LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA (CT units)

### UNIT RATINGS

kVA <u>211000</u>	°F <u>104</u>	Voltage <u>18000 V</u>
Power Factor <u>0.85</u>		
Speed (RPM) <u>3600</u>		Connection (e.g. Wye) <u>WYE</u>
Short Circuit Ratio <u>0.54</u>		Frequency, Hertz <u>60</u>
Stator Amperes at Rated kVA <u>6768</u>		Field Volts <u>295V</u>
Max Turbine MW <u>179.3</u>	°F <u>104</u>	

### COMBINED TURBINE-GENERATOR-EXCITER INERTIA DATA

Inertia Constant, H = 5.372 kW sec/kVA  
 Moment-of-Inertia, WR<sup>2</sup> = N/A lb. ft.<sup>2</sup>

### REACTANCE DATA (PER UNIT-RATED KVA)

	DIRECT AXIS		QUADRATURE AXIS
Synchronous – saturated	$X_{dv}$ <u>1.929</u>	$X_{qv}$ <u>1.841</u>	
Synchronous – unsaturated	$X_{di}$ <u>1.929</u>	$X_{qi}$ <u>1.841</u>	
Transient – saturated	$X'_{dv}$ <u>0.215</u>	$X'_{qv}$ <u>N/A</u>	
Transient – unsaturated	$X'_{di}$ <u>0.291</u>	$X'_{qi}$ <u>0.466</u>	
Subtransient – saturated	$X''_{dv}$ <u>0.149</u>	$X''_{qv}$ <u>0.146</u>	
Subtransient – unsaturated	$X''_{di}$ <u>0.206</u>	$X''_{qi}$ <u>0.199</u>	
Negative Sequence – saturated	$X2_v$ <u>0.143</u>		
Negative Sequence – unsaturated	$X2_i$ <u>0.196</u>		
Zero Sequence – saturated	$X0_v$ <u>0.096</u>		
Zero Sequence – unsaturated	$X0_i$ <u>0.127</u>		
Leakage Reactance	$Xl_m$ <u>0.171</u>		

### FIELD TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

Open Circuit	$T'_{do}$	<u>4.767</u>	$T'_{qo}$	<u>0.395</u>
Three-Phase Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d3}$	<u>0.53</u>	$T'_q$	<u>0.395</u>
Line to Line Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d2}$	<u>0.823</u>		
Line to Neutral Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d1}$	<u>0.998</u>		
Short Circuit Subtransient	$T''_d$	<u>0.023</u>	$T''_q$	<u>0.023</u>
Open Circuit Subtransient	$T''_{do}$	<u>0.033</u>	$T''_{qo}$	<u>0.074</u>

### ARMATURE TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

Three Phase Short Circuit	$T_{a3}$	<u>0.349</u>
Line to Line Short Circuit	$T_{a2}$	<u>0.349</u>
Line to Neutral Short Circuit	$T_{a1}$	<u>0.311</u>

NOTE: If requested information is not applicable, indicate by marking "N/A."

### MW CAPABILITY AND PLANT CONFIGURATION LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA

#### ARMATURE WINDING RESISTANCE DATA (PER UNIT)

Positive	$R_1$	<u>0.003</u>
Negative	$R_2$	<u>0.013</u>
Zero	$R_0$	<u>0.007</u>

Rotor Short Time Thermal Capacity  $I_2^2 t =$  10.0

Field Current at Rated kVA, Armature Voltage and PF = 1498.6 amps

Field Current at Rated kVA and Armature Voltage, 0 PF = 1747.8 amps

Three Phase Armature Winding Capacitance = 1.103 microfarad

Field Winding Resistance = 0.199 ohms 125 °C

Armature Winding Resistance (Per Phase) = 0.00167 ohms 100 °C

## CURVES

Provide Saturation, Vee, Reactive Capability, Capacity Temperature Correction curves. Designate normal and emergency Hydrogen Pressure operating range for multiple curves.

### GENERATOR STEP-UP TRANSFORMER DATA RATINGS

Capacity                      Self-cooled/  
   Maximum Nameplate  
126.6 / 211 kVA

Voltage Ratio(Generator Side/System side/Tertiary)  
18 / 138 / none kV

Winding Connections (Low V/High V/Tertiary V (Delta or Wye))  
delta / wye / none

Fixed Taps Available  
±5%, ±2.5

Present Tap Setting  
138 KV

### IMPEDANCE

Positive             $Z_1$  (on self-cooled kVA rating) 9.5 % approx 30 X/R

Zero                 $Z_0$  (on self-cooled kVA rating) 8.4% % approx 27 X/R

### EXCITATION SYSTEM DATA

Identify appropriate IEEE model block diagram of excitation system and power system stabilizer (PSS) for computer representation in power system stability simulations and the corresponding excitation system and PSS constants for use in the model.

Excitation system model is EXPIC1. See attached for constants.

PSS system model is PSS2B (Similar to PSS2A). See attached for constants.

### GOVERNOR SYSTEM DATA

Identify appropriate IEEE model block diagram of governor system for computer representation in power system stability simulations and the corresponding governor system constants for use in the model.

Governor model is GAST2A. See attached for constants.

### WIND GENERATORS

Number of generators to be interconnected pursuant to this Interconnection Request:

\_\_\_\_\_

Elevation: \_\_\_\_\_      \_\_\_\_\_ Single Phase      \_\_\_\_\_ Three Phase

Inverter manufacturer, model name, number, and version:

\_\_\_\_\_

List of adjustable setpoints for the protective equipment or software:

\_\_\_\_\_

Note: A completed General Electric Company Power Systems Load Flow (PSLF) data sheet or other compatible formats, such as IEEE and PTI power flow models, must be supplied with the Interconnection Request. If other data sheets are more appropriate to the proposed device, then they shall be provided and discussed at Scoping Meeting.

## INDUCTION GENERATORS

- (\*) Field Volts: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Field Amperes: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Motoring Power (kW): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Neutral Grounding Resistor (If Applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*)  $I_2^2t$  or K (Heating Time Constant): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Rotor Resistance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Stator Resistance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Stator Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Rotor Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Magnetizing Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Short Circuit Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Exciting Current: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Temperature Rise: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Frame Size: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Design Letter: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Reactive Power Required In Vars (No Load): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Reactive Power Required In Vars (Full Load): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Total Rotating Inertia, H: \_\_\_\_\_ **Per Unit on KVA Base**

Note: Please consult Transmission Provider prior to submitting the Interconnection Request to determine if the information designated by (\*) is required.

**Attachment A to Appendix 1  
Interconnection Request**

**LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA (ST unit)**

**UNIT RATINGS**

kVA <u>249000</u>	°F <u>104</u>	Voltage <u>18000 V</u>
Power Factor <u>0.85</u>		
Speed (RPM) <u>3600</u>		Connection (e.g. Wye) <u>WYE</u>
Short Circuit Ratio <u>0.498</u>		Frequency, Hertz <u>60</u>
Stator Amperes at Rated kVA <u>7986</u>		Field Volts <u>385V</u>
Max Turbine MW <u>211.65</u>	°F <u>104</u>	

**COMBINED TURBINE-GENERATOR-EXCITER INERTIA DATA**

Inertia Constant, H =	<u>4.2446</u>	kW sec/kVA
Moment-of-Inertia, WR <sup>2</sup> =	<u>N/A</u>	lb. ft. <sup>2</sup>

**REACTANCE DATA (PER UNIT-RATED KVA)**

	<b>DIRECT AXIS</b>	<b>QUADRATURE AXIS</b>
Synchronous – saturated	X <sub>dv</sub> <u>2.11</u>	X <sub>qv</sub> <u>2.01</u>
Synchronous – unsaturated	X <sub>di</sub> <u>2.11</u>	X <sub>qi</sub> <u>2.01</u>
Transient – saturated	X' <sub>dv</sub> <u>0.235</u>	X' <sub>qv</sub> <u>N/A</u>
Transient – unsaturated	X' <sub>di</sub> <u>0.265</u>	X' <sub>qi</sub> <u>0.465</u>
Subtransient – saturated	X'' <sub>dv</sub> <u>0.155</u>	X'' <sub>qv</sub> <u>0.155</u>
Subtransient – unsaturated	X'' <sub>di</sub> <u>0.2</u>	X'' <sub>qi</sub> <u>0.2</u>
Negative Sequence – saturated	X <sub>2v</sub> <u>0.155</u>	
Negative Sequence – unsaturated	X <sub>2i</sub> <u>0.2</u>	
Zero Sequence – saturated	X <sub>0v</sub> <u>0.105</u>	
Zero Sequence – unsaturated	X <sub>0i</sub> <u>0.105</u>	
Leakage Reactance	X <sub>lm</sub> <u>0.135/0.15</u>	

### FIELD TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

Open Circuit	$T'_{do}$	<u>7.7</u>	$T'_{qo}$	<u>0.59</u>
Three-Phase Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d3}$	<u>0.77</u>	$T'_q$	<u>0.14</u>
Line to Line Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d2}$	<u>1.32</u>		
Line to Neutral Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d1}$	<u>1.6</u>		
Short Circuit Subtransient	$T''_d$	<u>0.026</u>	$T''_q$	<u>0.026</u>
Open Circuit Subtransient	$T''_{do}$	<u>0.039</u>	$T''_{qo}$	<u>0.078</u>

### ARMATURE TIME CONSTANT DATA (SEC)

Three Phase Short Circuit	$T_{a3}$	<u>0.4</u>
Line to Line Short Circuit	$T_{a2}$	<u>0.4</u>
Line to Neutral Short Circuit	$T_{a1}$	<u>0.31</u>

NOTE: If requested information is not applicable, indicate by marking "N/A."

### MW CAPABILITY AND PLANT CONFIGURATION LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA

#### ARMATURE WINDING RESISTANCE DATA (PER UNIT)

Positive	$R_1$	<u>0.003</u>
Negative	$R_2$	<u>0.0202</u>
Zero	$R_0$	<u>0.0089</u>

Rotor Short Time Thermal Capacity  $I_2^2 t =$  10s

Field Current at Rated kVA, Armature Voltage and PF = 1744 amps

Field Current at Rated kVA and Armature Voltage, 0 PF = 2194 amps

Three Phase Armature Winding Capacitance = 0.898 microfarad

Field Winding Resistance = 0.1808 ohms 125°C

Armature Winding Resistance (Per Phase) = 0.0015 ohms 100°C



## CURVES

Provide Saturation, Vee, Reactive Capability, Capacity Temperature Correction curves. Designate normal and emergency Hydrogen Pressure operating range for multiple curves.

## GENERATOR STEP-UP TRANSFORMER DATA RATINGS

Capacity                      Self-cooled/  
Maximum Nameplate  
149.4 / 249 kVA

Voltage Ratio(Generator Side/System side/Tertiary)  
18 / 138 / none kV

Winding Connections (Low V/High V/Tertiary V (Delta or Wye))  
delta / wye / none

Fixed Taps Available  
±5%, ±2.5

Present Tap Setting  
138 KV

## IMPEDANCE

Positive             $Z_1$  (on self-cooled kVA rating) 9.5 % approx 30 X/R

Zero                 $Z_0$  (on self-cooled kVA rating) 8.4% % approx 27 X/R

## INDUCTION GENERATORS

- (\*) Field Volts: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Field Amperes: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Motoring Power (kW): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Neutral Grounding Resistor (If Applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*)  $I_2^2t$  or K (Heating Time Constant): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Rotor Resistance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Stator Resistance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Stator Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Rotor Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Magnetizing Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Short Circuit Reactance: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Exciting Current: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Temperature Rise: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Frame Size: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Design Letter: \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Reactive Power Required In Vars (No Load): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Reactive Power Required In Vars (Full Load): \_\_\_\_\_
- (\*) Total Rotating Inertia, H: \_\_\_\_\_ Per Unit on KVA Base

Note: Please consult Transmission Provider prior to submitting the Interconnection Request to determine if the information designated by (\*) is required.

Appendix A: Attachment 3 - Interconnection Study Data Requirement

GE 7FA CT

ENTERGY

Data Sheet for Interconnection Studies		
For each set of generators (steam/gas)		
General	Required for Load Flow Analysis	Required for Stability Analysis
Maximum Gross MW (per set)	179 MW x 2 unit	
Aux Power Requirement (per set)	See ST unit	
One Line Diagram for the Plant	Attached	
<b>Step-Up Transformer/Autotransformer (two wdg xfmrs)</b>		
Rated Voltage HV	138	
Rated Voltage LV1	18	
MVA rating	126.6/211	
Taps HV side	+/-2 steps of 2.5% above and below nominal	
Taps LV Side	None	
Z1 (positive sequence impedance)	9.5%	
X / R ratio	30	
<b>Generator (p.u. reactances are on rated MVA, KV^2/5000)</b>		
Manufacturer	GE 7FA	
Rated MVA	211	
Rated KV	18 KV	
Power factor	0.85	
Reactive Capability Curves or QMAX:QMIN	Attached under separate cover	
Parameters for PFI-compatible Generator model (See datasheet for PFI-compatible generator models)		Attached under separate cover
<b>Automatic Voltage Regulator and Excitation System</b>		
Type (e.g., static, brushless, etc.)		Static
Model (Control Block Diagram)		EXPIC1
Parameters - (See datasheet for PFI-compatible exciter models)		Attached under separate cover
<b>Power System Stabilizer</b>		
Model (Control Block Diagram)		S2B (Similar to PSS2A)
		See attachment
<b>Turbine and Governor (Steam Turbine)</b>		
	N/A	
Model (Control Block Diagram)		
Parameters - (See datasheet for PFI-compatible governor models)		
<b>Turbine and Governor (Gas Turbine)</b>		
Model (Control Block Diagram)		Attached under separate cover
Parameters - (See datasheet for PFI-compatible governor models)		Attached under separate cover
(Note: Need PFI compatible models for generator, exciter, governor and stabiliser)		
<b>Line Data (in per unit on 100MVA base)</b>		
From Bus: _____ positive sequence	r = _____ pu, x = _____ pu	charging = _____ pu, bps rating = _____ mva
To Bus: _____ zero sequence	r = _____ pu, x = _____ pu	line length = _____ miles
<b>Load Data</b>		
	p = _____ mw, q = _____ mvar	

### GAST2A

#### Gas Turbine Model

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS,  
machine # \_\_\_\_\_ I.  
This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
and STATES starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
and VARs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ L.



CONs	#	Value	Description
J		25	W - governor gain (1/droop) (on turbine rating)
J+1		0	X (sec) governor lead time constant
J+2		0.02	Y (sec) (>0.) governor lag time constant
J+3		1	Z - governor mode: 1 - Droop 0 - ISO
J+4		0.04	E <sub>TD</sub> (sec)
J+5		0.2	T <sub>CD</sub> (sec)
J+6		171.7	T <sub>RATE</sub> turbine rating (MW)
J+7		0.0625	T (sec)
J+8		1.0	MAX (pu) limit (on turbine rating)
J+9		0.0	MIN (pu) limit (on turbine rating)
J+10		0.01	E <sub>CR</sub> (sec)
J+11		0.77	K <sub>3</sub>
J+12		1.0	a (>0.) valve positioner
J+13		0.05	b (sec) (>0.) valve positioner
J+14		1.0	c valve positioner
J+15		0.4	τ <sub>f</sub> (sec) (>0.)
J+16		0	K <sub>f</sub>
J+17		0.2	K <sub>5</sub>
J+18		0.8	K <sub>4</sub>
J+19		15	T <sub>3</sub> (sec) (>0.)
J+20		2.5	T <sub>4</sub> (sec) (>0.)
J+21		1650	τ <sub>t</sub> (>0.)
J+22		3.3	T <sub>5</sub> (sec) (>0.)
J+23		597	a <sub>f1</sub>
J+24		550	b <sub>f1</sub>

CONs	#	Value	Description
J+25		-0.299	a <sub>f2</sub>
J+26		1.3	b <sub>f2</sub>
J+27		0.5	c <sub>f2</sub>
J+28		1116	Rated temperature, T <sub>R</sub> * (degree)
J+29		0.23	Minimum fuel flow, K <sub>6</sub> (pu)
J+30		1116	Temperature control, T <sub>C</sub> * (degree)

\*Units can be °F or °C depending on constants a<sub>f1</sub> and b<sub>f1</sub>.

STATes	#	Description
K		Speed governor
K+1		Valve positioner
K+2		Fuel system
K+3		Radiation shield
K+4		Thermocouple
K+5		Temperature control
K+6		Gas turbine dynamics
K+7		Combustor
K+8		Combustor
K+9		Turbine/exhaust
K+10		Turbine/exhaust
K+11		Fuel controller delay
K+12		Fuel controller delay


VARs	#	Description
L		Governor reference
L+1		<b>Temperature reference flag</b>
L+2		Low value select output
L+3		Output of temperature control

IBUS, 'GAST2A', I, W, X, Y, Z, E<sub>TD</sub>, T<sub>CD</sub>, T<sub>RATE</sub>, T, MAX, MIN, E<sub>CR</sub>, K<sub>3</sub>, a, b, c, τ<sub>f</sub>, K<sub>f</sub>, K<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, τ<sub>t</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, a<sub>f1</sub>, b<sub>f1</sub>, a<sub>f2</sub>, b<sub>f2</sub>, c<sub>f2</sub>, T<sub>R</sub>, K<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>C</sub>

### GENROU

#### Round Rotor Generator Model (Quadratic Saturation)

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS,  
machine # \_\_\_\_\_ I.  
This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
and STATEs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
The machine MVA is \_\_\_\_\_ for each of \_\_\_\_\_  
units = \_\_\_\_\_ MBASE.  
ZSORCE for this machine is \_\_\_\_\_ + j \_\_\_\_\_ on  
the above MBASE



CONs	#	Value	Description
J		4.767	$T'_{do}$ (>0) (sec)
J+1		0.033	$T''_{do}$ (>0) (sec)
J+2		0.375	$T'_{qo}$ (>0) (sec)
J+3		0.074	$T''_{qo}$ (>0) (sec)
J+4		5.372	Inertia, H
J+5		0	Speed damping, D
J+6		1.929	$X_d$
J+7		1.841	$X_q$
J+8		0.291	$X'_d$
J+9		0.466	$X'_q$
J+10		0.206	$X''_d = X''_q$
J+11		0.171	$X_l$
J+12		0.0357	S(1.0)
J+13		0.242	S(1.2)

STATEs	#	Description
K		$E'_q$
K+1		$E'_d$
K+2		$\psi_{kd}$
K+3		$\psi_{kq}$
K+4		$\Delta$ speed (pu)
K+5		Angle (radians)

Note:  $X_d, X_q, X'_d, X'_q, X''_d, X''_q, X_l, H,$  and  $D$  are in pu,  
machine MVA base.

$X''_q$  must be equal to  $X''_d$ .

IBUS, 'GENROU', I,  $T'_{do}, T''_{do}, T'_{qo}, T''_{qo}, H, D, X_d, X_q, X'_d, X'_q, X''_d, X_l, S(1.0), S(1.2)$

## Power System Stabilizer (PSS) Parameters EX2000 Excitation System

**IEEE Type PSS2B** (Note similar model in IEEE Standards 421.5  
which is IEEE Type PSS2A - does not have  
constants T5, T10, and Input Limits)


Note: Parameters shown with ranges give the typical or useful ranges, actual  
setting ranges are usually much wider.

VSI1 = speed  
VSI2 = electrical power  
VSI1max, VSI1min - input #1 limits - +/- 0.08 pu  
VSI2max, VSI2min - input #2 limits - +/- 1.25 pu  
T1 = lead #1 - 0.1-2.0 sec (Note: Lead/Lags Determined by Studies)  
T2 = lag #1 - 0.01 -1.0 sec  
T3 = lead #2 - 0.1-2.0 sec  
T4 = lag #2 - 0.01 -1.0 sec  
T5 = lag #3 - can be used if there are three lead lags (not GE design)  
or for equivalent torsional filter time constant which may  
be required for some units (determined by studies)  
T6 = 0.0  
T7 = TW - 2-15 sec -10.0 typical  
T8 = 0.5 sec - fixed  
T9 = 0.1 sec - fixed  
T10 = Lag #3 - not in GE design  
N = 1  
M = 5  
KS1 = PSS gain - 5-20 typical  
KS2 = TW/2H - where H=combined turbine-gen.inertia constant  
KS3 = 1.0  
VSTmax = 0.05 to 0.1  
VSTmin = -0.05 to -0.1  
TW1 = TW - see note on T7 above  
TW2 = TW  
TW3 = TW  
TW4 = 0.0

### PSS2A

#### IEEE Dual-Input Stabilizer Model

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS,  
 machine # \_\_\_\_\_ I.  
 This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
 and STATEs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
 and VARs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ L,  
 and ICONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ IC.



ICONs	#	Value	Description
IC		1	ICS1, first stabilizer input code: 1 - rotor speed deviation (pu) 2 - bus frequency deviation (pu) 3 - generator electrical power on MBASE base (pu) 4 - generator accelerating power (pu) 5 - bus voltage (pu) 6 - derivative of pu bus voltage
IC+1		0	REMBUS1, first remote bus number
IC+2		3	ICS2, second stabilizer input code: 1 - rotor speed deviation (pu) 2 - bus frequency deviation (pu) 3 - generator electrical power on MBASE base (pu) 4 - generator accelerating power (pu) 5 - bus voltage (pu) 6 - derivative of pu bus voltage
IC+3		0	REMBUS2, second remote bus number
IC+4		5	M, ramp tracking filter
IC+5		1	N, ramp tracking filter

CONs	#	Value	Description
J+9		0.1	T <sub>9</sub> (>0)
J+10		0.0	K <sub>S1</sub>
J+11		0.12	T <sub>1</sub>
J+12		0.035	T <sub>2</sub>
J+13		0.1	T <sub>3</sub>
J+14		0.02	T <sub>4</sub>
J+15		0.10	V <sub>STMAX</sub>
J+16		-0.10	V <sub>STMIN</sub>

STATEs	#	Description
K		Washout - first signal
K+1		Washout - first signal
K+2		Transducer - first signal
K+3		Washout - second signal
K+4		Washout - second signal
K+5		Transducer - second signal
K+6		Ramp Tracking Filter
.		
.		
K+13		
K+14		First lead-lag
K+15		Second lead-lag

CONs	#	Value	Description
J		10	T <sub>w1</sub> (>0)
J+1		10	T <sub>w2</sub>
J+2		0	T <sub>6</sub>
J+3		10	T <sub>w3</sub> (>0)
J+4		0	T <sub>w4</sub>
J+5		10	T <sub>7</sub>
J+6		0.93	K <sub>S2</sub>
J+7		1.0	K <sub>S3</sub>
J+8		0.5	T <sub>8</sub>

VARs	#	Description
L		Memory
L+1		Derivative of pu bus voltage - first bus
L+2		Memory
L+3		Derivative of pu bus voltage - second bus

MG0309

GEN DES NO 90228G DATE 3-FEB-00

ATB-2-211000 KVA 3600 RPM 18000 VOLTS 0.85 PF 30.0 PSIG 40.0 C H2  
 179350 KW 6768 AMPS 0.54 SCR .295 FLD VOLTS 0 FT ALT WYE CONN

REACTANCE DATA - (PER UNIT)	DIRECT AXIS		QUADRATURE AXIS	
SATURATED SYNCHRONOUS	X/DV	1.929	X/QV	1.841
UNSATURATED SYNCHRONOUS	X/DI	1.929	X/QI	1.841
SATURATED TRANSIENT	XP/DV	0.215		
UNSATURATED TRANSIENT	XP/DI	0.291	XP/Q	0.466
SATURATED SUBTRANSIENT	XPP/DV	0.149	XPP/QV	0.146
UNSATURATED SUBTRANSIENT	XPP/DI	0.206	XPP/QI	0.199
SATURATED NEGATIVE SEQUENCE	X/2V	0.143		
UNSATURATED NEGATIVE SEQUENCE	X/2I	0.196		
SATURATED ZERO SEQUENCE	X/0V	0.096		
UNSATURATED ZERO SEQUENCE	X/0I	0.127		
LEAKAGE REACTANCE, OVEREXCITED	X/LM,OE	0.171		
LEAKAGE REACTANCE, UNDEREXCITED	X/LM,UE	0.171		

FIELD TIME CONSTANT DATA - (SEC AT 125C)

OPEN CIRCUIT	TP/D0	4.767	TP/Q0	0.395
THREE PHASE SHORT CIRCUIT TRANSIENT	TP/D3	0.530	TP/Q	0.395
LINE TO LINE SHORT CIRCUIT TRANSIENT	TP/D2	0.823		
LINE TO NEUTRAL SHORT CIRCUIT TRANSIENT	TP/D1	0.998		
SHORT CIRCUIT SUBTRANSIENT	TPP/D	0.023	TPP/Q	0.023
OPEN CIRCUIT SUBTRANSIENT	TPP/D0	0.033	TPP/Q0	0.074

ARMATURE DC COMPONENT TIME CONSTANT DATA - (SEC AT 100C)

THREE PHASE SHORT CIRCUIT	T/A3	0.349
LINE TO LINE SHORT CIRCUIT	T/A2	0.349
LINE TO NEUTRAL SHORT CIRCUIT	T/A1	0.311

ARMATURE WINDING SEQUENCE RESISTANCE DATA - (PER UNIT)

POSITIVE	R/1	0.003
NEGATIVE	R/2	0.013
ZERO	R/0	0.007

ANSI ROTOR SHORT-TIME THERMAL CAPACITY, I2SQT = 10.0  
 TURBINE-GENERATOR COMBINED INERTIA CONSTANT, H = 5.372 KW SEC/KVA  
 THREE PHASE ARMATURE WINDING CAPACITANCE = 1.103 MICROFARADS  
 ARMATURE WINDING DC RESISTANCE (PER PHASE) = 0.00167 OHMS (100 C)  
 FIELD WINDING DC RESISTANCE = 0.199 OHMS (125 C)  
 FIELD CURRENT AT RATED KVA, ARM VOLTAGE, AND PF = 1498.6 AMPS  
 FIELD CURRENT AT RATED KVA AND ARM VOLTAGE, 0PF LAGGING (FOR SYSTEMS  
 STUDY ONLY - NOT ALLOWABLE OPERATING POINT) = 1747.8 AMPS

GAS TURBINE DRIVEN GENERATOR - PROPOSAL DATA FOR PROP. NUMBER: 90228



DATE 3-FEB-00 CUSTOMER

DESIGN NUMBER 90228G

GENERATOR RATING


BASE AT 0 FT ALTITUDE, 40 DEG C AMBIENT -  
211000 KVA - 0.85 PF - 179350 KW - 3600 RPM - 2 POLE - 3 PHASE  
60 HERTZ - 18000 A.C. VOLTS - 6768 A.C. AMPS - WYE CONNECTED  
0.54 SCR - 30.0 PSIG

TOTAL TEMPERATURES ARE GUARANTEED NOT TO EXCEED-	INSULATION MATERIAL
STATOR COILS- 100. DEG C BY EMBEDDED DETECTOR	ARMATURE - CLASS F
COLLECTOR- 125. DEG C BY THERMOMETER	FIELD - CLASS F
FIELD COILS- 110. DEG C BY RESISTANCE	

DIELECTRIC TESTS - BETWEEN COILS AND GROUND, 60 HERTZ AC FOR 1 MIN-

STATOR - 37000 VOLTS  
ROTOR - 2950 VOLTS


ESTIMATED SATURATION AND SYNCHRONOUS IMPEDANCE CURVES  
 211000 KVA - 3600 RPM - 18000 VOLTS - 0.85 PF  
 295 FLD VOLTS - 40 C COLD GAS - 30 PSIG H2



$$S(1.0) = \frac{B_{1.0} - A_{1.0}}{A_{1.0}} = \frac{580 - 560}{560}$$


$$S(1.2) = \frac{B_{1.2} - A_{1.2}}{A_{1.2}} = \frac{800 - 660}{660}$$

ESTIMATED REACTIVE CAPABILITY CURVES  
 211000 KVA - 3600 RPM - 18000 VOLTS - 0.85 PF  
 295 FLD VOLTS - 40 C COLD GAS - 30 PSIG H2



# ESTIMATED EXCITATION V CURVES

211000 KVA - 3600 RPM - 18000 VOLTS - 0.85 PF  
295 FLD VOLTS - 40 C COLD GAS - 30 PSIG H2



Appendix A: Attachment 3 - Interconnection Study Data Requirement

7FH2B ST


ENTERGY

Data Sheet for Interconnection Studies		
For each set of generators (steam/gas)		
General	Required for Load Flow Analysis	Required for Stability Analysis
Maximum Gross MW (per set)	211.65 MW	
Aux Power Requirement (per set)	2.5 MW total for CCGT	
One Line Diagram for the Plant	Attached	
<b>Step-Up Transformer/Autotransformer (two wdg xfmrs)</b>		
Rated Voltage HV	138	
Rated Voltage LV	18	
MVA rating	149.4/249	
Taps HV side	+/-2 steps of 2.5% above and below nominal	
Taps LV Side	None	
Z1 (positive sequence impedance)	9.5%	
X / R ratio	.30	
<b>Generator (p.u. reactances are on rated MVA, KV values)</b>		
Manufacturer	GE	
Rated MVA	249	
Rated KV	18	
Power factor	0.85	
Reactive Capability Curves or QMAX/QMIN	Attached under separate cover	
Parameters for PTI-compatible Generator model (See datasheet for PTI-compatible generator models)		Attached under separate cover
<b>Automatic Voltage Regulator and Excitation System</b>		
Type (e.g., static, brushless, etc.)		Static
Model (Control Block Diagram)		IEEE ST4B
Parameters - (See datasheet for PTI-compatible exciter models)		Attached under separate cover
<b>Power System Stabilizer</b>		
Model (Control Block Diagram)		PSS2A
		See attachment
<b>Turbine and Governor (Steam Turbine)</b>		
Model (Control Block Diagram)		Attached under separate cover
Parameters - (See datasheet for PTI-compatible governor models)		Attached under separate cover
<b>Turbine and Governor (Gas Turbine)</b>		
Model (Control Block Diagram)		
Parameters - (See datasheet for PTI-compatible governor models)		
(Note: Need PTI compatible models for generator, exciter, governor and stabiliser)		
<b>Line Data (in per unit on 100MVA base)</b>		
From Bus: _____ positive sequence	r = _____ pu, x = _____ pu,	charging = _____ pu, line rating = _____ mva
To Bus: _____ zero sequence	r = _____ pu, x = _____ pu,	line length = _____ miles
<b>Load Data</b>	p = _____ mva, q = _____ mvar	

### GENROU

#### Round Rotor Generator Model (Quadratic Saturation)

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS,  
 machine # \_\_\_\_\_ I.  
 This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
 and STATEs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
 The machine MVA is \_\_\_\_\_ for each of \_\_\_\_\_  
 units = \_\_\_\_\_ MBASE.  
 ZSORCE for this machine is \_\_\_\_\_ + j \_\_\_\_\_ on  
 the above MBASE



CONs	#	Value	Description
J		7.7	T <sub>do</sub> (>0) (sec)
J+1		0.039	T <sub>do</sub> (>0) (sec)
J+2		0.59	T <sub>qo</sub> (>0) (sec)
J+3		0.078	T <sub>qo</sub> (>0) (sec)
J+4		4.2446	Inertia, H
J+5			Speed damping, D
J+6		2.11	X <sub>d</sub>
J+7		2.01	X <sub>q</sub>
J+8		0.265	X' <sub>d</sub>
J+9		0.465	X' <sub>q</sub>
J+10		0.2	X'' <sub>d</sub> = X'' <sub>q</sub>
J+11		0.15	X <sub>l</sub>
J+12		see curve	S(1.0)
J+13		see curve	S(1.2)

STATEs	#	Description
K		E' <sub>q</sub>
K+1		E' <sub>d</sub>
K+2		ψ <sub>kd</sub>
K+3		ψ <sub>kq</sub>
K+4		Δ speed (pu)
K+5		Angle (radians)

Note: X<sub>d</sub>, X<sub>q</sub>, X'<sub>d</sub>, X'<sub>q</sub>, X''<sub>d</sub>, X''<sub>q</sub>, X<sub>l</sub>, H, and D are in pu,  
 machine MVA base.


X''<sub>q</sub> must be equal to X''<sub>d</sub>.

IBUS, 'GENROU', I, T<sub>do</sub>, T''<sub>do</sub>, T'<sub>qo</sub>, T''<sub>qo</sub>, H, D, X<sub>d</sub>, X<sub>q</sub>, X'<sub>d</sub>, X'<sub>q</sub>, X''<sub>d</sub>, X<sub>l</sub>, S(1.0), S(1.2)

**ESST4B**

**IEEE Type ST4B Potential or Compounded Source-Controlled Rectifier Exciter**

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS,  
machine # \_\_\_\_\_ I.  
This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
and STATEs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K.



CONs	#	Value	Description
J		0	$T_R$ (sec)
J+1		3.30	$K_{PR}$
J+2		3.30	$K_{JR}$
J+3		1.00	$V_{RMAX}$
J+4		-0.87	$V_{RMIN}$
J+5		0.01	$T_A$ (sec)
J+6		1.00	$K_{PM}$
J+7		0	$K_{JM}$
J+8		1.00	$V_{MMAX}$
J+9		-0.87	$V_{MMIN}$
J+10		0	$K_G$
J+11		6.05	$K_P$
J+12		0	$K_I$
J+13		7.56	$V_{BMAX}$
J+14		0.09	$K_C$
J+15		0	$X_L$
J+16			THETAP


STATEs	#	Description
K		Sensed $V_T$
K+1		Regulator integrator
K+2		Regulator output, $V_R$
K+3		$V_M$

IBUS, 'ESST4B', I,  $T_R$ ,  $K_{PR}$ ,  $K_{JR}$ ,  $V_{RMAX}$ ,  $V_{RMIN}$ ,  $T_A$ ,  $K_{PM}$ ,  $K_{JM}$ ,  $V_{MMAX}$ ,  $V_{MMIN}$ ,  $K_G$ ,  $K_P$ ,  $K_I$ ,  $V_{BMAX}$ ,  $K_C$ ,  $X_L$ , THETAP/

**PSS2A**

**IEEE Dual-Input Stabilizer Model**

This model is located at system bus # \_\_\_\_\_ IBUS,  
 machine # \_\_\_\_\_ I.  
 This model uses CONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ J,  
 and STATEs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ K,  
 and VARs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ L,  
 and ICONs starting with # \_\_\_\_\_ IC.



ICONs	#	Value	Description
IC			ICS1, first stabilizer input code: 1 - rotor speed deviation (pu) 2 - bus frequency deviation (pu) 3 - generator electrical power on MBASE base (pu) 4 - generator accelerating power (pu) 5 - bus voltage (pu) 6 - derivative of pu bus voltage
IC+1			REMBUS1, first remote bus number
IC+2			ICS2, second stabilizer input code: 1 - rotor speed deviation (pu) 2 - bus frequency deviation (pu) 3 - generator electrical power on MBASE base (pu) 4 - generator accelerating power (pu) 5 - bus voltage (pu) 6 - derivative of pu bus voltage
IC+3			REMBUS2, second remote bus number
IC+4			M, ramp tracking filter
IC+5			N, ramp tracking filter

CONs	#	Value	Description
J+9			$T_9 (>0)$
J+10			$K_{S1}$
J+11			$T_1$
J+12			$T_2$
J+13			$T_3$
J+14			$T_4$
J+15			$V_{STMAX}$
J+16			$V_{STMIN}$

CONs	#	Value	Description
J			$T_{w1} (>0)$
J+1			$T_{w2}$
J+2			$T_6$
J+3			$T_{w3} (>0)$
J+4			$T_{w4}$
J+5			$T_7$
J+6			$K_{S2}$
J+7			$K_{S3}$
J+8			$T_8$

STATEs	#	Description
K		Washout - first signal
K+1		Washout - first signal
K+2		Transducer - first signal
K+3		Washout - second signal
K+4		Washout - second signal
K+5		Transducer - second signal
K+6		Ramp Tracking Filter
.		
.		
K+13		
K+14		First lead-lag
K+15		Second lead-lag

VARs	#	Description
L		Memory
L+1		Derivative of pu bus voltage - first bus
L+2		Memory
L+3		Derivative of pu bus voltage - second bus

*Please see datasheet.*



## ESTIMATED GENERATOR DATA

Customer: -99999

Station/Project:

Generator Number:

Generator Type:

### GENERATOR RATING

Data for Proposal No/Electrical Design: 90995G Run Date : 6/14/02

ATB 2 249000 kVA 3600 RPM 18000 Volts 0.85 PF 45 psig -99999 °C Gas 211650 kW 7986 Amps  
385 Field Volts 597 Ft Alt 0.498 SCR 60 Hz 3 Phase WYE Connection

### Exciter Rating

Type Static

840 kW 385 Volts 2181 D.C.Amps Field Amps @ Generator rated Load 1744

<u>Total temperatures are guaranteed not to exceed:</u>	<u>Insulation Class</u>	<u>Temperature Rise</u>
Stator coils: 100 °C by embedded detector	Armature F	B
Field coils 110 °C by Resistance	Field class F	B
Collector Gas Rise 20 °C by RTD		

### Cooling water Requirements @ Generator Rating (C901 - Data)

(Data not applicable for Open Ventilated Units. Air cooled OV units, values will be shown as -99999)

Generator Output:	249000	Kva
Loss to Coolers:		Kw
Inlet Water Temperature:		°C
Outlet Cold Gas Temperature	-99999	°C
Coolant		
Maximum Fouling Factor:	0.0005	1/(btu / (hours*footsquared*F) )
Total Water Flow Required:		GPM (total for all coolers)
Coolant temperature Max		°C
Head Loss Per Cooler:		Feet of Water
Maximum Operating Pressure:	125	psig
	8.6184	bar

Dielectric tests (Between coils and ground, 50/60 hertz AC for 1 min)

Stator 37000V

Rotor 3153V

<b>REACTANCES (Per Unit):</b>	<b>Direct Axis</b>	<b>Quadrature Axis</b>
Saturated Synchronous	$X_{dv}$ 2.11	$X_{qv}$ 2.01
Unsaturated Synchronous	$X_{di}$ 2.11	$X_{qi}$ 2.01
Saturated Transient	$X'_{dv}$ 0.235	
Unsaturated Transient	$X'_{di}$ 0.265	$X'_{qi}$ 0.465
Saturated Sub transient	$X''_{dv}$ 0.155	$X''_{qv}$ 0.155
Unsaturated Sub transient	$X''_{di}$ 0.2	$X''_{qi}$ 0.2
Saturated Negative Sequence	$X_{2v}$ 0.155	
Unsaturated Negative Sequence	$X_{2i}$ 0.2	
Saturated Zero Sequence	$X_{0v}$ 0.105	
Unsaturated Zero Sequence	$X_{0i}$ 0.105	
Saturated Leakage Reactance	$X_{lv}$ 0.135	
Unsaturated Leakage Reactance	$X_{li}$ 0.15	

**FIELD TIME CONSTANTS (Seconds @ 125 °C)**

Open Circuit	$T'_{d0}$ 7.7	$T'_{q0}$ 0.59
Three Phase Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d3}$ 0.77	$T'_{q}$ 0.14
Line To Line Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d2}$ 1.32	
Line To Neutral Short Circuit Transient	$T'_{d1}$ 1.6	
Short Circuit Sub transient	$T''_{d}$ 0.026	$T''_{q}$ 0.026
Open Circuit Sub transient	$T''_{d0}$ 0.039	$T''_{q0}$ 0.078

**ARMATURE DC COMPONENT TIME CONSTANTS (Seconds@ 100 °C)**

Three Phase Short Circuit	$T_{a3}$ 0.4
Line To Line Short Circuit	$T_{a2}$ 0.4
Line To Neutral Short Circuit	$T_{a1}$ 0.31

**ARMATURE WINDING SEQUENCE RESISTANCES (Per Unit)**

Positive	$R_1$ 0.003
Negative	$R_2$ 0.0202
Zero	$R_0$ 0.0089

Reactance, Resistance and Time Constant data may be interpreted per IEEE 115, section VII.

The base reactance (" UNIT") is calculated by the armature kV squared / MVA.

$$\text{Base reactance} = 1.3012 \quad \text{Ohms}$$

Rotor Short-Time Thermal Capacity, $(I_2)^2t$	10 s
Turbine-Generator Combined Inertia Constant, H	kW-s/kVA
Three Phase Armature Winding Capacitance	0.898 $\mu$ F
Armature Winding DC Resistance (Per Phase)	0.0015 $\Omega$ (100 °C)
Field Winding DC Resistance	0.1808 $\Omega$ (125 °C)
Field Current At Rated Kva, Armature Voltage, & PF	1744 A
Field Current At Rated Kva, Armature Voltage, 0 PF Lagging	2194 A
(For Systems Study Only - Not Allowable Operating Point)	

**X/R RATIO**

$$X/R = 130$$


X/R ratio equals "XPP/DV" \* base reactance / armature DC resistance at 100 C

**Customer**  
**Generator Design** 90995G  
**Generator Type** 7FH2B

MVA Rating	249	KV Rating	18
RPM	3600	PF	0.85
SCR	0.498	H2PSI	45
Volts DC	385	RFG at 100 C	0.1682
AFAG amps	544	AFFL amps	1744

**EX2000 Standard Busfed Static Exciter Model Parameters**  
**IEEE ST4B Model Format**  
**TYPICAL DATA, NOT FOR DESIGN PURPOSES**

		Exciter Nominal Response at rated input	2.0
TR	0	KC	0.09
KPR	3.30	KIR	3.30
VRMAX	1.00	VRMIN	-0.87
TA	0.01	KG	0
KPM	1.00	KIM	0
VMMAX	1.00	VMIMIN	-0.87
KP	6.05	KI	0
VBMAX	7.56	XL	0




Harold C. Sanderson  
 GE Excitation/Controls Engineering  
 ex2000/

5/24/2007 15:09

TR	AC sensor time constant
KPR	AVR proportional gain
KIR	AVR integral gain
VRMAX	Maximum AVR Output
VRMIN	Minimum AVR output
TA	AVR time constant
KG	Field voltage feedback gain
KPM	Inner loop proportional gain
KIM	Inner loop integral gain
VMMAX	Maximum inner loop output
VMIMIN	Minimum inner loop output
VBMAX	Maximum source voltage
KP	Potential source constant
KI	Current source constant
XL	Source leakage reactance
KC	Rectifier loading factor
VS	Stabilizing input
VOEL	Over Excitation limit input
VUEL	Under excitation limit input
VC	Compensated terminal voltage
VREF	Terminal voltage setpoint
EFD	Field voltage
IFD	Field current
VT	Terminal voltage
IT	Terminal current

**TYPICAL EX2000 Power System Stabilizer (PSS)**  
**IPS90995GD**  
**TYPICAL DATA, NOT FOR DESIGN PURPOSES**



Ref. IEEE 421.5-1992 Type PSS2A


Note: Parameters shown with ranges give the typical or useful ranges  
 actual setting ranges are usually much wider.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| VSI1 = speed input   | VSI2 = electrical power input            |
| VSI1max, VSI1min - input #1 limits +/- 0.08 pu (fixed)   |  |
| VSI2max, VSI2min - input #2 limits +/- 1.25 pu (fixed)   |  |
| *T1 = lead #1 0.15 (range 0.1 - 2.0 sec)   | *T2 = lag #1 0.03 (range 0.01 - 1.0 sec) |
| *T3 = lead #2 0.15 (range 0.1 - 2.0 sec)   | *T4 = lag #2 0.03 (range 0.01 - 1.0 sec) |
| T5 = lag #3 0.0 (fixed not used in GE design) can be used if there are three lead lags or for equivalent torsional filter time constant which may be required for some units (determined by studies) |  |
| T6 = 0.0 (fixed)   | T7 = TW 2.0 sec (range 2 - 15 sec)       |
| T8 = 0.5 sec (fixed)   | T9 = 0.1 sec (fixed)                     |
| T10 = Lag #3 = 0.0 (fixed not used in GE design)   |  |
| N = 1 (fixed)  | M = 5 (fixed)                            |
| *KS1 = PSS gain = 8 - (range 3 - 20 typical)   |  |
| KS2 = 0.202 = TW/(2H) - where H = combined turbine-gen. Inertia constant   |  |
| KS3 = 1.0  |  |
| VSTmax = (range 0.05 to 0.1)   | VSTmin = (range -0.05 to -0.1)           |
| TW1 = TW see note on T7 above  | TW2 = TW see note on T7 above            |
| TW3 = TW see note on T7 above  | TW4 = 0.0 (fixed)                        |
| * Note: Lead/Lags and Gain must be Determined by Studies   |  |

HCS 3-19-2002


### ESTIMATED SATURATION AND SYNCHRONOUS IMPEDANCE CURVES

2 Pole 3600 RPM 249000 kVA 18000 Volts 0.850 PF  
 0.498 SCR 45.00 PSIG H2 Pressure 385 Volts Excitation  
 40 Deg. C Cold Gas 597 Ft. Altitude




# ESTIMATED VEE CURVES

2 Pole 3600 RPM 249000 kVA 18000 Volts 0.850 PF  
0.498 SCR 45.00 PSIG H2 Pressure 385 Volts Excitation  
40 Deg. C Cold Gas 597 Ft. Altitude



# ESTIMATED REACTIVE CAPABILITY CURVES


2 Pole 3600 RPM 249000 kVA 18000 Volts 0.850 PF  
0.498 SCR 45.00 PSIG H2 Pressure 385 Volts Excitation  
40 Deg. C Cold Gas 597 Ft. Altitude






# GENERATOR OUTPUT AS A FUNCTION OF LIQUID TEMPERATURE

2 Pole 3600 RPM 249000 kVA 18000 Volts 0.850 PF  
0.498 SCR 45.00 PSIG H2 Pressure 385 Volts Excitation  
40 Deg. C Cold Gas 597 Ft. Altitude



# GENERATOR OUTPUT AS A FUNCTION OF COLD GAS TEMPERATURE

2 Pole 3600 RPM 249000 kVA 18000 Volts 0.850 PF  
0.498 SCR 45.00 PSIG H2 Pressure 385 Volts Excitation  
40 Deg. C Cold Gas 597 Ft. Altitude



## A.A.2 DATA USED IN STABILITY MODEL

### Load Flow Models

The **PID-210** plant equipment data are listed in Appendix A.A. No other elements were added to the Entergy system.

### Stability Models

The **PID-210** plant equipment stability model data are listed in Appendix A.A. The resulting PSS/E model data is as follows:

#### Load Flow data in Stability Models

```
99181,'G1PID211  ', 18.0000, 2, 0, 0, 351, 103, 1.00000, -43.4, 1
99182,'G2PID211  ', 18.0000, 2, 0, 0, 351, 103, 1.00000, -43.4, 1
99183,'G3PID211  ', 18.0000, 2, 0, 0, 351, 103, 1.00000, -43.4, 1
0 / END OF BUS DATA, BEGIN LOAD DATA
0 / END OF LOAD DATA, BEGIN GENERATOR DATA
99181,'1 ', 0.0, 0.0, 110.000, -80.000,1.00000,334072, 211.000, 0.00000, 0.206,
0.00000, 0.00000,1.00000,0, 100.0, 179.350, 0.000, 1,1.0000
99182,'2 ', 0.0, 0.0, 110.000, -80.000,1.00000,334072, 211.000, 0.00000, 0.206,
0.00000, 0.00000,1.00000,0, 100.0, 179.350, 0.000, 1,1.0000
99183,'3 ', 0.0, 0.0, 130.000, -80.000,1.00000,334072, 249.000, 0.00000, 0.200,
0.00000, 0.00000,1.00000,0, 100.0, 211.650, 0.000, 1,1.0000
0 / END OF GENERATOR DATA, BEGIN BRANCH DATA
0 / END OF BRANCH DATA, BEGIN TRANSFORMER DATA
334072, 99181, 0,'1 ',2,2,1, 0.00000, 0.00000,2,'TX LEWIS CT1',1, 1,1.0000
0.00316, 0.095, 126.6
138.000, 0.000, 0.000, 126.60, 126.60, 126.60, 0, 0, 144.9, 131.1, 144.9, 131.1, 33,
0, 0.00000, 0.00000
18.0000, 0.000
334072, 99182, 0,'2 ',2,2,1, 0.00000, 0.00000,2,'TX LEWIS CT2',1, 1,1.0000
0.00316, 0.095, 126.6
138.000, 0.000, 0.000, 126.60, 126.60, 126.60, 0, 0, 144.9, 131.1, 144.9, 131.1, 33,
0, 0.00000, 0.00000
18.0000, 0.000
334072, 99183, 0,'3 ',2,2,1, 0.00000, 0.00000,2,'TX LEWIS ST1',1, 1,1.0000
0.00316, 0.095, 149.4
138.000, 0.000, 0.000, 149.40, 149.40, 149.40, 0, 0, 144.9, 131.1, 144.9, 131.1, 33,
0, 0.00000, 0.00000
18.0000, 0.000
0 / END OF TRANSFORMER DATA, BEGIN AREA DATA
0 / END OF AREA DATA, BEGIN TWO-TERMINAL DC DATA
0 / END OF TWO-TERMINAL DC DATA, BEGIN VSC DC LINE DATA
0 / END OF VSC DC LINE DATA, BEGIN SWITCHED SHUNT DATA
0 / END OF SWITCHED SHUNT DATA, BEGIN IMPEDANCE CORRECTION DATA
0 / END OF IMPEDANCE CORRECTION DATA, BEGIN MULTI-TERMINAL DC DATA
0 / END OF MULTI-TERMINAL DC DATA, BEGIN MULTI-SECTION LINE DATA
0 / END OF MULTI-SECTION LINE DATA, BEGIN ZONE DATA
0 / END OF ZONE DATA, BEGIN INTER-AREA TRANSFER DATA
0 / END OF INTER-AREA TRANSFER DATA, BEGIN OWNER DATA
0 / END OF OWNER DATA, BEGIN FACTS DEVICE DATA
0 / END OF FACTS DEVICE DATA
```

## Dynamics Data in Stability Models

```

// MACHINE CT1
99181 'GENROU' 1 4.767 0.033 0.395 0.074
5.372 0.0 1.929 1.841 0.291
0.466 0.206 0.171 0.0357 0.242 / CT1_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_28April08

99181 'EXPIC1' 1 0.0 3.96 1.0 1.0
-0.87 0.01 0.0 0.0 1.0
-0.87 0.0 1.0 1.0 6.31
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0 6.05 0.0 0.13 / CT1_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_28April08

99181 'PSS2B' 1 0.0 3.00 0.0 5.0
1 10.0 10.0 0.0 10.0
0.0 10.0 0.931 1.0 0.5
0.1 6.0 0.12 0.035 0.1
0.02 0.10 -0.10 / CT1_PID211 18.0 \ EMC_22May08

99181 'GAST2A' 1 25.0 0.0 0.02 1.0
0.04 0.2 171.7 0.0625 1.00
0.0 0.01 0.77 1.0 0.05
1.0 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.8
15.0 2.5 1650.0 3.3 597.0
550.0 -0.299 1.3 0.5 1116.0
0.23 1116.0 / CT1_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_29April08

// MACHINE CT2
99182 'GENROU' 2 4.767 0.033 0.395 0.074
5.372 0.0 1.929 1.841 0.291
0.466 0.206 0.171 0.0357 0.242 / CT2_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_28April08

99182 'EXPIC1' 2 0.0 3.96 1.0 1.0
-0.87 0.01 0.0 0.0 1.0
-0.87 0.0 1.0 1.0 6.31
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0 6.05 0.0 0.13 / CT2_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_28April08

99182 'PSS2B' 1 0.0 3.00 0.0 5.0
1 10.0 10.0 0.0 10.0
0.0 10.0 0.931 1.0 0.5
0.1 6.0 0.12 0.035 0.1
0.02 0.10 -0.10 / CT1_PID211 18.0 \ EMC_22May08

99182 'GAST2A' 2 25.0 0.0 0.02 1.0
0.04 0.2 171.7 0.0625 1.00
0.0 0.01 0.77 1.0 0.05
1.0 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.8
15.0 2.5 1650.0 3.3 597.0
550.0 -0.299 1.3 0.5 1116.0
0.23 1116.0 / CT2_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_29April08

// MACHINE ST1
99183 'GENROU' 3 7.7 0.039 0.59 0.078
4.2446 0.0 2.11 2.01 0.265
0.465 0.2 0.15 0.0385 0.143 / ST1_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_28April08

99183 'PSS2A' 3 1 0 3 0
5 1 2 2 0
2 0 2 0.202 1.0
0.5 0.10 8.0 0.15 0.03
0.15 0.03 0.1 -0.1 / ST1_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_29April08

99183 'ESST4B' 3 0.0 3.3 3.3 1.0
-0.87 0.01 1.0 0.0 1.0
-0.87 0.0 6.05 0.0 7.56
0.09 0.0 0.0 / ST1_PID211 18.0 \ RTH_29April08

99183 'GGOV1' 0.04 1.0 0.05 -0.05 10.0
2.00 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.15
0.5 1.5 0.20 0.1 0.0
0.0 3.0 2.0 0.67 1.0
-2.0 0.1 -0.1 0.0 0.01
10.0 0.0 211.65 0.00025 4.0
4.0 99.0 -99.0 / ST1_PID211 18.0 \ EMC_22May08

```

## **APPENDIX A.B**

# **POLICY STATEMENT/GUIDELINES FOR POWER SYSTEM STABILIZER ON THE ENTERGY SYSTEM**

### **Background:**

A Power System Stabilizer (PSS) is an electronic feedback control that is a part of the excitation system control for generating units. The PSS acts to modulate the generator field voltage to damp the Power System oscillation.

Due to restructuring of the utility industry, there has been a significant amount of merchant generation activity on the Entergy system. These generators are typically equipped with modern exciters that have a high gain and a fast response to enhance transient stability. However, these fast response exciters, if used without stabilizers, can lead to oscillatory instability affecting local or regional reliability. This problem is exacerbated particularly in areas where there is a large amount of generation with limited transmission available for exporting power.

Stability studies carried out at Entergy have validated this concern. Furthermore, based on the understanding of operational problems experienced in the WSCC area over the last several years and the opinion of leading experts in the stability area, PSS are an effective and a low cost means of mitigating dynamic stability problems. In particular, PSS cost can be low if it is included in power plant procurement specifications.

Therefore, as a pre-emptive measure, Entergy requires all new generation (including affiliates and qualifying facilities) intending to interconnect to its transmission system to install PSS on their respective units.

The following guidelines shall be followed for PSS installation:

- PSS shall be installed on all new synchronous generators (50 MVA and larger) connecting to the transmission system that were put into service after January 1, 2000.
- PSS shall be installed on synchronous generators (50 MVA and larger) installed before January 1, 2000 subject to confirmation by Entergy that these units are good candidates for PSS and installing PSS on these units will enhance stability in the region. The decision to install PSS on a specific unit will be based on the effectiveness of the PSS in controlling oscillations, the suitability of the excitation system, and cost of retrofitting.
- In areas where a dynamic stability problem has not been explicitly identified, all synchronous generators (50 MVA and larger) will still be required to install stabilizers. However, in such cases the tuning will not be required and the stabilizer may remain disconnected until further advised by Entergy.
- Need for testing and tuning of PSS on units requesting transmission service from areas where stability problem has not been explicitly identified will be determined on an as-needed basis as part of transmission service study.
- The plants are responsible for testing and tuning of exciter and stabilizer controls for optimum performance and providing PSS model and data for use with PSS/E stability program.
- PSS equipment shall be tested and calibrated in conjunction with automatic voltage regulation (AVR) testing and calibration at-least every five years in accordance with the NERC Compliance Criteria on Generator Testing. PSS re-calibration must be performed if AVR parameters are modified.

- The PSS equipment to be installed is required to be of the Delta-P-Omega type.

References:

WOTAB Area Stability Study for the Entergy System

WSCC Draft Policy Statement on Power System Stabilizers

PSEC Application Notes: Power System Stabilizer helps need plant stability margins for Simple Cycle and Combined Cycle Power Plants

## **APPENDIX A.C**


### **TRANSIENT STABILITY DATA AND PLOTS**

Plots illustrating the results from the simulated cases have been provided. For all cases, machine angle and frequency plots are given for representative generators in the vicinity of major 230kV or 500kV buses in the area near the proposed PID-210 generation.

# APPENDIX A.D


## SUBSTATION CONFIGURATION FOR THE ADJACENT SUBSTATIONS UNDER STUCK BREAKER FAULT CONDITIONS

Fault-1A: Fault on the Lewis Creek – Longmire 138 kV  
 Stuck Circuit Breaker (CB) 1665 at Lewis Creek 138 kV with 138 kV North Bus CB's Last to Open







# Fault-2A: Fault on the Lewis Creek – Alden 138 kV Stuck Circuit Breaker (CB) 16585 at Lewis Creek 138 kV with 138 kV South Bus CB's Last to Open




# Fault-3A: Fault on the Lewis Creek – Conroe Bulk 138 kV Stuck Circuit Breaker (CB) 1655 at Lewis Creek 138 kV with CB 1650 and Security CB 26060 Last to Open




# Fault-4A: Fault on the Lewis Creek – Security 138 kV Stuck Circuit Breaker (CB) 1655 at Lewis Creek 138 kV with CB 1660 and Conroe Bulk CB 6385 Last to Open



# Fault-5A: Fault on the Lewis Creek – Rivtrin 138 kV Stuck Circuit Breaker (CB) 1630 at Lewis Creek 138 kV with CB 1635 Last to Open



# Fault-6A: Fault on the Lewis Creek – Huntsville 138 kV Stuck Circuit Breaker (CB) 1615 at Lewis Creek 138 kV with CB 1610 and Peach Creek CB 26100 Last to Open



# Section – B

Network Resource Interconnection Service

## TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR NRIS (SECTION – B)

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	66
ANALYSIS	67
MODELS	67
CONTINGENCY & MONITORED ELEMENTS	68
GENERATIONS USED FOR TRANSFER	68
RESULTS	69
REQUIRED UPGRADES FOR NRIS	71

<a href="#">APPENDIX B-A</a>	Deliverability Test for Network Resource Interconnection Service Resources
<a href="#">APPENDIX B-B</a>	NRIS Deliverability Test

## **Introduction:**

A Network Resource Interconnection Services (NRIS) study was requested by Entergy Services EMO (EMO) to serve 570 MW of Entergy network load. The expected in service date for this NRIS generator is 1/1/2011. The tests were performed with only confirmed transmission reservations and existing network generators and with transmission service requests in study mode.

Two tests were performed, a deliverability to generation test and a deliverability to load test. The deliverability to generation (DFAX) test ensures that the addition of this generator will not impair the deliverability of existing network resources and units already designated as NRIS while serving network load. The deliverability to load test determines if the tested generator will reduce the import capability level to certain load pockets (Amite South, WOTAB and Western Region) on the Entergy system. A more detailed description for these two tests is described in Appendix B-A and Appendix B-B.

Also, it is understood that the NRIS status provides the Interconnection Customer with the capability to deliver the output of the Generating Facility into the Transmission System. NRIS in and of itself does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or Point of Delivery.



# Analysis:

## D. Models

The models used for this analysis are the 2011 and 2015 summer peak cases developed in September 2007 and revised on 3/4/2008.

The following modifications were made to the base cases to reflect the latest information available:

- Non-Firm IPPs within the local region of the study generator were turned off and other non-firm IPPs outside the local area were increased to make up the difference.
- Confirmed firm transmission reservations were modeled for the year 2011 and 2015. These requests are:

OASIS#	PSE	POR	POD	Sink	MW	Service	Begin	End
1464028	East Texas Electric Coop.	EES	EES	ETEC	168	Yearly Network - Designated Resources	1/1/2010	1/1/2040

- Approved transmission reliability upgrades for 2007 - 2010 were included in the base case. These upgrades can be found at Entergy's OASIS web page, <http://oasis.e-terrasolutions.com/documents/EES/Disclaimer.html> under approved future projects.
- Increased the output of Big Cajun 2 units to reflect there NITS and firm point to point transfers from that unit. To do this, the output of Bayou Cove and Ouachita were reduced to 0MW.

Another model was created to include all prior NRIS interconnection generators. The NRIS interconnection generators are:

PID	Substation	MW	In Service Date
207	Grand Gulf	1594	1/1/2015
208	Fancy Point	1594	1/1/2015

The following is a list of prior transmission service studies that were included in the priors case for this analysis:

OASIS #	PSE	MW	Begin	End
1460876	Aquila Networks - MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029
1460878	Aquila Networks - MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029
1460879	Aquila Networks - MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029
1460881	Aquila Networks - MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029
1460900	Louisiana Energy & Power Authority	116	1/1/2009	1/1/2030
1468113	Municipal Energy Agency of Miss	20	6/1/2011	6/1/2041

OASIS #		PSE	MW	Begin	End
1468285		MidAmerican Energy, Inc.	103	9/1/2007	9/1/2008
1468286		MidAmerican Energy, Inc.	103	9/1/2007	9/1/2008
1468288		MidAmerican Energy, Inc.	103	1/1/2008	1/1/2009
1468289		MidAmerican Energy, Inc.	103	1/1/2008	1/1/2009
1470484		City of West Memphis	20	1/1/2011	1/1/2041
1477636		Westar Energy Gen & Mtkg	27	6/1/2010	6/1/2040
1477639		Westar Energy Gen & Mtkg	27	6/1/2010	6/1/2011
1478781		Entergy Services, Inc. (EMO)	804	1/1/2008	1/1/2058
1481059		Constellation Energy Group	60	2/1/2011	2/1/2030
1481111		City of Conway	50	2/1/2011	2/1/2046
1481119		Constellation Energy Group	30	2/1/2011	2/1/2030
1481235		Louisiana Energy & Power Authority	50	2/1/2011	2/1/2016
1481438		NRG Power Marketing	20	2/1/2011	2/1/2021
1483241		NRG Power Marketing	103	1/1/2010	1/1/2020
1483243		NRG Power Marketing	206	1/1/2010	1/1/2020
1483244		NRG Power Marketing	309	1/1/2010	1/1/2020
1495910		Southwestern Electric Cooperative, Inc.	78	5/1/2010	5/1/2013

Transfer analysis was performed from Lewis Creek to loads in zone 100 – 199 and 500 – 998 using MUST.

**B. Contingencies and Monitored Elements**

Single contingency analyses on Entergy’s transmission facilities (including tie lines) 115kV and above were considered. All transmission facilities on Entergy transmission system above 100 kV were monitored.

**C. Generation used for the transfer**

The Lewis Creek 138kV bus was used as the source for the “from generation” test for deliverability.

# Results

## I. Deliverability to Generation (DFAX) Test:

The deliverability to generation (DFAX) test ensures that the addition of this generator will not impair the deliverability of existing network resources and units already designated as NRIS while serving network load. A more detailed description for these two tests is described in Appendix B-A and Appendix B-B.

**Table III-1 Summary of Results of DFAX Test**

<b>Study Case</b>	<b>Study Case with Priors</b>
Conair - Lewis Creek SES 138kV	Conair - Lewis Creek SES 138kV

**Table III-2 2011 DFAX Study Case Results without priors:**

<b>Limiting Element</b>	<b>Contingency Element</b>	<b>ATC</b>
None	None	358

**Table III-3 2015 DFAX Study Case Results without Priors:**

<b>Limiting Element</b>	<b>Contingency Element</b>	<b>ATC</b>
Conair - Lewis Creek SES 138kV	Alden - Lewis Creek SES 138kV	341

To alleviate the constrained identified in Tables III-2 & 3 a second iteration of DFAX test was performed with the following upgrades included in the model and results are listed in Table III-4:

Conair – Lewis Creek 138kV to 625MVA (1272 Bittern DB) 11.2 miles

**Table III-4 2015 DFAX Study Case with proposed upgrade Results without Priors:**

<b>Limiting Element</b>	<b>Contingency Element</b>	<b>ATC</b>
None	None	358

**Table III-5 2015 DFAX Study Case with Priors Results:**

<b>Limiting Element</b>	<b>Contingency Element</b>	<b>ATC</b>
Conair - Lewis Creek SES 138kV	Alden - Lewis Creek SES 138kV	342

To alleviate the constrained identified in Tables III-5, a second iteration of DFAX test was performed with the following upgrades included in the model and results are listed in Table III-6:

Conair – Lewis Creek 138kV to 625MVA (1272 Bittern DB) 11.2 miles

**Table III-6 2015 DFAX Study Case with proposed upgrade Results with Priors:**

<b>Limiting Element</b>	<b>Contingency Element</b>	<b>ATC</b>
None	None	358

## **II. Deliverability to Load Test:**

The deliverability to load test determines if the tested generator will reduce the import capability level to certain load pockets (Amite South, WOTAB and Western Region) on the Entergy system.

A more detailed description for these two tests is described in Appendix B-A and Appendix B-B.

**Amite South: Passed**

**WOTAB: Passed**

**Western Region: Passed**

# Required Upgrades for NRIS

## Preliminary Estimates of Direct Assignment of Facilities and Network Upgrades

Limiting Element	Planning Estimate for Upgrade
Conair - Lewis Creek SES 138kV	Conair - Lewis Creek SES 138kVto 625MVA (1272 Bittern DB) 11.2 miles \$11,000,000

The costs of the upgrades are planning estimates only. Detailed cost estimates, accelerated costs and solutions for the limiting elements will be provided in the facilities study

## APPENDIX B.A - Deliverability Test for NRIS

### 1. Overview

Entergy will develop a two-part deliverability test for customers (Interconnection Customers or Network Customers) seeking to qualify a Generator as an NRIS resource: (1) a test of deliverability “from generation”, that is out of the Generator to the aggregate load connected to the Entergy Transmission system; and (2) a test of deliverability “to load” associated with sub-zones. This test will identify upgrades that are required to make the resource deliverable and to maintain that deliverability for a five year period.

#### 1.1 The “From Generation” Test for Deliverability

In order for a Generator to be considered deliverable, it must be able to run at its maximum rated output without impairing the capability of the aggregate of previously qualified generating resources (whether qualified at the NRIS or NITS level) in the local area to support load on the system, taking into account potentially constrained transmission elements common to the Generator under test and other adjacent qualified resources. For purposes of this test, the resources displaced in order to determine if the Generator under test can run at maximum rated output should be resources located outside of the local area and having insignificant impact on the results. Existing Long-term Firm PTP Service commitments will also be maintained in this study procedure.

## 1.2 The “To Load” Test for Deliverability

The Generator under test running at its rated output cannot introduce flows on the system that would adversely affect the ability of the transmission system to serve load reliably in import-constrained sub-zones. Existing Long-term Firm PTP Service commitments will also be maintained in this study procedure.

## 1.3 Required Upgrades.

Entergy will determine what upgrades, if any, will be required for an NRIS applicant to meet deliverability requirements pursuant to Appendix B-B.

## **Appendix B-B – NRIS Deliverability Test**

### Description of Deliverability Test

Each NRIS resource will be tested for deliverability at peak load conditions, and in such a manner that the resources it displaces in the test are ones that could continue to contribute to the resource adequacy of the control area in addition to the studied resources. The study will also determine if a unit applying for NRIS service impairs the reliability of load on the system by reducing the capability of the transmission system to deliver energy to load located in import-constrained sub-zones on the grid. Through the study, any transmission upgrades necessary for the unit to meet these tests will be identified.

### Deliverability Test Procedure:

The deliverability test for qualifying a generating unit as a NRIS resource is intended to ensure that 1) the generating resource being studied contributes to the reliability of the system as a whole by being able to, in conjunction with all other Network Resources on the system, deliver energy to the aggregate load on the transmission system, and 2) collectively all load on the system can still be reliably served with the inclusion of the generating resource being studied.

The tests are conducted for “peak” conditions (both a summer peak and a winter peak) for each year of the 5-year planning horizon commencing in the first year the new unit is scheduled to commence operations.

#### 1) Deliverability of Generation

The intent of this test is to determine the deliverability of a NRIS resource to the aggregate load on the system. It is assumed in this test that all units previously qualified as NRIS and NITS resources are deliverable. In evaluating the incremental deliverability of a new resource, a test case is established. In the test case, all existing NRIS and NITS resources are dispatched at an expected level of generation (as modified by the DFAX list units as discussed below). Peak load

withdrawals are also modeled as well as net imports and exports. The output from generating resources is then adjusted so as to “balance” overall load and generation. This sets the baseline for the test case in terms of total system injections and withdrawals.

Incremental to this test case, injections from the proposed new generation facility are then included, with reductions in other generation located outside of the local area made to maintain system balance.

Generator deliverability is then tested for each transmission facility. There are two steps to identify the transmission facilities to be studied and the pattern of generation on the system:

- 1) Identify the transmission facilities for which the generator being studied has a 3% or greater distribution factor.

- 2) For each such transmission facility, list all existing qualified NRIS and NITS resources having a 3% or greater distribution factor on that facility.

This list of units is called the Distribution Factor or DFAX list.

For each transmission facility, the units on the DFAX list with the greatest impact are modeled as operating at 100% of their rated output in the DC load flow until, working down the DFAX list, a 20% probability of all units being available at full output is reached (e.g. for 15 generators with a Forced Outage Rate of 10%, the probability of all 15 being available at 100% of their rated output is 20.6%). Other NRIS and NITS resources on the system are modeled at a level sufficient to serve load and net interchange.

From this new baseline, if the addition of the generator being considered (coupled with the matching generation reduction on the system) results in overloads on a particular transmission facility being examined, then it is not “deliverable” under the test.

## 2) Deliverability to Load

The Entergy transmission system is divided into a number of import constrained sub-zones for



which the import capability and reliability criteria will be examined for the purposes of testing a new NRIS resource. These sub-zones can be characterized as being areas on the Entergy transmission system for which transmission limitations restrict the import of energy necessary to supply load located in the sub-zone.

The transmission limitations will be defined by contingencies and transmission constraints on the system that are known to limit operations in each area, and the sub-zones will be defined by the generation and load busses that are impacted by the contingent transmission lines. These sub-zones may change over time as the topology of the transmission system changes or load grows in particular areas.

An acceptable level of import capability for each sub-zone will have been determined by Entergy Transmission based on their experience and modeling of joint transmission and generating unit contingencies. Typically the acceptable level of transmission import capacity into the sub-zones will be that which is limited by first-contingency conditions on the transmission system when generating units within the sub-region are experiencing an abnormal level of outages and peak loads.

The “deliverability to load” test compares the available import capability to each sub-zone that is required for the maintaining of reliable service to load within the sub-zone both with and without the new NRIS resource operating at 100% of its rated output. If the new NRIS resource does not reduce the sub-zone import capability so as to reduce the reliability of load within the sub-zone to an unacceptable level, then the deliverability to load test for the unit is satisfied. This test is conducted for a 5-year planning cycle. When the new NRIS resource fails the test, then transmission upgrades will be identified that would allow the NRIS unit to operate without degrading the sub-zone reliability to below an acceptable level.

#### Other Modeling Assumptions:

##### 1) Modeling of Other Resources

Generating units outside the control of Entergy (including the network resources of others, and generating units in adjacent control areas) shall be modeled assuming “worst case” operation of the units – that is, a pattern of dispatch that reduces the sub-zone import capability, or impact the common limiting flowgates on the system to the greatest extent for the “from generation” deliverability test.

## 2) Must-run Units

Must-run units in the control area will be modeled as committed and operating at a level consistent with the must-run operating guidelines for the unit.

## 3) Base-line Transmission Model

The base-line transmission system will include all transmission upgrades approved and committed to by Entergy Transmission over the 5-year planning horizon. Transmission line ratings will be net of TRM and current CBM assumptions will be maintained.